



# Class of Meromorphic Univalent Functions with Fixed Second Positive Coefficients Defined by q-Difference Operator

Zienab M. Saleh<sup>1</sup>, and Adela O. Mostafa<sup>2\*</sup>

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Mansoura University, Egypt

**Abstract:** In this paper using a q-difference operator, a class of meromorphic univalent functions with fixed second positive coefficients is defined. Coefficient estimates, some distortion theorems and other properties for this class are obtained. Various results obtained are sharp.

**Keywords:** Meromorphic, starlike, convex, fixed coefficient, radius of convexity.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

For  $0 \leq \delta < 1$ , let  $\Sigma_\delta$  denote the class of univalent meromorphic functions of the form:

$$F(\zeta) = \frac{1}{\zeta - \delta} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \zeta^k, F(\delta) = \infty,$$

defined in the disk  $\mathcal{D}_\delta = \{\zeta: \delta < |\zeta| < 1\}$ .

Also let  $\Sigma_{\delta, \alpha}$ ,  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$  be the subclass of functions  $F$  in  $\Sigma_\delta$  which has the expansion:

$$F(\zeta) = \frac{\alpha}{\zeta - \delta} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \zeta^k,$$

where  $\alpha = \text{Res}(F, \delta)$ , with  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ ,  $\zeta \in \mathcal{D}_\delta$ .

The function  $F$  given in (1.1) was studied by Jinxi Ma [12]. The functions  $F \in \Sigma_\delta$  is said to be meromorphically starlike (convex) functions of order  $\beta$  if and only if

$$-Re \left\{ \frac{\zeta F'(\zeta)}{F(\zeta)} \right\} > \beta, 0 \leq \beta < 1, \zeta \in \mathcal{D}_\delta, \quad (1.2)$$

$$-Re \left\{ 1 + \frac{\zeta F''(\zeta)}{F'(\zeta)} \right\} > \beta, 0 \leq \beta < 1, \zeta \in \mathcal{D}_\delta. \quad (1.3)$$

The class of such functions is denoted by  $\Sigma_\delta^*(\beta)$  ( $\Sigma_\delta^c(\beta)$ ). Note that the class  $\Sigma_0^*(\beta)$  and various other subclasses of  $\Sigma_\delta^*(0)$  had been studied by [5](see also [1, 2], [10], [13], [15], [17, 18, 19]).

Let  $\Sigma_{\delta, \alpha}^+ \subset \Sigma_{\delta, \alpha}$  consisting of functions of the form:

$$F(\zeta) = \frac{\alpha}{\zeta - \delta} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \zeta^k, (a_k \geq 0). \quad (1.4)$$

It is known that the calculus without the notion of limits is called  $q$ -calculus which has influenced many scientific fields due to its important applications. Tang et al. [16] defined the  $q$ -derivative for meromorphic functions  $F \in \Sigma_0$  by:

$$\partial_q F(\zeta) = \frac{F(\zeta) - F(q\zeta)}{(1-q)\zeta} = -\frac{1}{q\zeta^2} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [k]_q a_k \zeta^{k-1}, \quad (1.5)$$

where

$$[j]_q = \frac{1-q^j}{1-q}. \quad (1.6)$$

As  $q \rightarrow 1^-$ ,  $[j]_q = j$  and  $\partial_q F(\zeta) = F'(\zeta)$ .

For  $F \in \Sigma_{\delta, \alpha}$ , let:

$$\mathcal{M}_q^0 F(\zeta) = F(\zeta),$$

$$\mathcal{M}_q^1 F(\zeta) = \zeta \partial_q F(\zeta) + \frac{\alpha((q+1)\zeta - \delta)}{(\zeta - \delta)(q\zeta - \delta)},$$

$$\mathcal{M}_q^2 F(\zeta) = \zeta \partial_q (\mathcal{M}_q^1 F(\zeta)) + \frac{\alpha((q+1)\zeta - \delta)}{(\zeta - \delta)(q\zeta - \delta)},$$

and for  $n \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$  we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_q^n F(\zeta) &= \zeta \partial_q (\mathcal{M}_q^{n-1} F(\zeta)) + \frac{\alpha((q+1)\zeta - \delta)}{(\zeta - \delta)(q\zeta - \delta)} \\ &= \frac{\alpha}{\zeta - \delta} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [k]_q^n a_k \zeta^k. \end{aligned} \quad (1.7)$$

**Note that:**

- (i)  $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} \mathcal{M}_q^n(\delta, \alpha) = \mathcal{M}^n(\delta, \alpha)$  (see [7, 8, 9]);
- (ii)  $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} \mathcal{M}_q^n(0, 1) = \mathcal{M}^n$  (see [6]).

Using the operator  $\mathcal{M}_q^n$ , and for  $F \in \Sigma_{\delta, \alpha}$  we have:

**Definition 1** The function  $F \in \Sigma_q^n(\delta, \alpha, \beta)$  if it satisfies

$$\left| \frac{\zeta q \partial_q (\mathcal{M}_q^n F(\zeta))}{\mathcal{M}_q^n F(\zeta)} + 1 \right| < \left| \frac{\zeta q \partial_q (\mathcal{M}_q^n F(\zeta))}{\mathcal{M}_q^n F(\zeta)} + 2\beta - 1 \right| \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cup \{0\}), \quad (1.8)$$

for some  $\beta (0 \leq \beta < 1)$ .

For  $q \rightarrow 1^-$ ,  $\Sigma_q^0(\delta, 1, \beta)$  is the class of meromorphically starlike functions of order  $\beta$  and  $\Sigma_q^0(\delta, 1, 0)$  gives the meromorphically starlike functions for all  $\zeta \in \mathcal{D}_\delta$ .

**Note that:**

- i.  $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} \Sigma_q^n(\delta, \alpha, \beta) = \Sigma^n(\delta, \alpha, \beta)$   
 $= \left\{ F(\zeta) : \left| \frac{\zeta (\mathcal{M}^n F(\zeta))'}{\mathcal{M}^n F(\zeta)} + 1 \right| < \left| \frac{\zeta (\mathcal{M}^n F(\zeta))'}{\mathcal{M}^n F(\zeta)} + 2\beta - 1 \right| \right\}$   
 (see [9]);
- ii.  $\Sigma_q^n(0, 1, \beta) = \Sigma_q^n(\beta)$   
 $= \left\{ F(\zeta) : \left| \frac{\zeta q \partial_q (\mathcal{D}^n F(\zeta))}{\mathcal{D}^n F(\zeta)} + 1 \right| < \left| \frac{\zeta q \partial_q (\mathcal{D}^n F(\zeta))}{\mathcal{D}^n F(\zeta)} + 2\beta - 1 \right| \right\};$
- iii.  $\lim_{q \rightarrow 1^-} \Sigma_q^n(0, 1, \beta) = \Sigma^n(\beta)$   
 $= \left\{ F(\zeta) : \left| \frac{\zeta (\mathcal{D}^n F(\zeta))'}{\mathcal{D}^n F(\zeta)} + 1 \right| < \left| \frac{\zeta (\mathcal{D}^n F(\zeta))'}{\mathcal{D}^n F(\zeta)} + 2\beta - 1 \right| \right\}.$

Let  $\Sigma_q^n[\delta, \alpha, \beta] = \Sigma_q^0(\delta, \alpha, \beta) \cap \Sigma_{\delta, \alpha}^+$ , where  $\Sigma_{\delta, \alpha}^+$  is the class of functions of the form (1.4) that are analytic and univalent in  $\mathcal{D}_\delta$ .

Following Goodman [11] and Ruscheweyh [14], we begin by introducing here the  $N_\delta$ -neighborhood for  $F(\zeta) \in \Sigma_\delta$  by

$$\begin{aligned} N_\delta(F, g) &= \{g : g(\zeta) \in \Sigma_\delta, g(\zeta) \\ &= \frac{1}{\zeta} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k \zeta^k \text{ and } \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k |a_k - b_k| \\ &\leq \delta\}, \end{aligned}$$

and for  $e(\zeta) = \frac{1}{\zeta}$ ;

$$\begin{aligned} N_\delta(e, g) &= \{g : g(\zeta) \in \Sigma_\delta, g(\zeta) \\ &= \frac{1}{\zeta} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k \zeta^k \text{ and } \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k |b_k| \leq \delta\}. \end{aligned}$$

In [4] Aouf et al. (see also Madian and Aouf [3] (with  $p = 1$ )) defined the  $N_{q, \delta}$ -neighborhood for  $F(\zeta) \in \Sigma_\delta$  by

$$\begin{aligned} N_{q, \delta}(F, g) &= \{g : g(\zeta) \in \Sigma_\delta, g(\zeta) = \frac{1}{\zeta} + \\ &\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k \zeta^k \text{ and } \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [k]_q |a_k - b_k| \leq \delta_q\}, \end{aligned} \quad (1.9)$$

and for  $e(\zeta) = \frac{1}{\zeta}$ ;

$$\begin{aligned} N_{q, \delta}(e, g) &= \{g : g(\zeta) \in \Sigma_\delta, g(\zeta) = \\ &\frac{1}{\zeta} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_k \zeta^k \text{ and } \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [k]_q |b_k| \leq \delta_q\}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.10)$$

## 2 MAIN RESULTS

Unless indicated, let  $0 < q < 1$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ ,  $0 \leq \beta < 1$ ,  $\zeta \in \mathcal{D}_\delta$ .

**Theorem 1** Let  $F(\zeta)$  be defined by (1.4). Then  $F \in \Sigma_q^n[\delta, \alpha, \beta]$  if and only if

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [k]_q^n (q[k]_q + \beta)(1 - \delta) a_k \leq \alpha(1 - \beta). \quad (2.1)$$

**Proof.** Assume that (2.1) holds true and let  $|\zeta| = 1$ , by (1.8) we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \frac{\zeta q \partial_q (\mathcal{M}_q^n F(\zeta))}{\mathcal{M}_q^n F(\zeta)} + 1 \right| - \left| \frac{\zeta q \partial_q (\mathcal{M}_q^n F(\zeta))}{\mathcal{M}_q^n F(\zeta)} + 2\beta - 1 \right| \\ &\leq \frac{-2\alpha(1-\beta)}{|\zeta||\zeta-\delta|} - 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [k]_q^n (q[k]_q + \beta) a_k |\zeta|^{k-1} \\ &\leq \frac{-2\alpha(1-\beta)}{1-\delta} - 2 \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [k]_q^n (q[k]_q + \beta) a_k < 0. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2[k]_q^n (q[k]_q + \beta)(1 - \delta)a_k - 2\alpha(1 - \beta) < 0$$

Therefore, by the maximum modulus theorem, we have  $F \in \Sigma_q^n[\delta, \alpha, \beta]$ .

Now, let  $F \in \Sigma_q^n[\delta, \alpha, \beta]$ , then

$$\left| \frac{\frac{\zeta q \partial_q (\mathcal{M}_q^n F(\zeta))}{\mathcal{M}_q^n F(\zeta)} + 1}{\frac{\zeta q \partial_q (\mathcal{M}_q^n F(\zeta))}{\mathcal{M}_q^n F(\zeta)} + 2\beta - 1} \right| < 1,$$

since  $Re(\zeta) \leq |\zeta|$  for all  $\zeta$ , we get

$$Re \left\{ \frac{\frac{-\alpha \delta}{\zeta(\zeta-\delta)(q\zeta-\delta)} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [k]_q^n (q[k]_q + 1)a_k \zeta^{k-1}}{\frac{\alpha(2\beta-1)}{\zeta(\zeta-\delta)} - \frac{\alpha q}{(\zeta-\delta)(q\zeta-\delta)} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [k]_q^n (q[k]_q + 2\beta - 1)a_k \zeta^{k-1}} \right\} < 1.$$

Choose values of  $\zeta$  on real axis so that  $\frac{\zeta q \partial_q (\mathcal{M}_q^n F(\zeta))}{\mathcal{M}_q^n F(\zeta)}$  is real. Letting  $\zeta \rightarrow 1^-$  through real values, we have (2.1).

**Corollary 1** If  $F \in \Sigma_q^n[\delta, \alpha, \beta]$ , then we have

$$a_k \leq \frac{\alpha(1-\beta)}{[k]_q^n (q[k]_q + \beta)(1-\delta)}. \quad (2.2)$$

Equality is attained for the function  $F$ :

$$F(\zeta) = \frac{\alpha}{\zeta - \delta} + \frac{\alpha(1-\beta)}{[k]_q^n (q[k]_q + \beta)(1-\delta)} \zeta^k \quad (2.3)$$

Let  $\Sigma_q^n[\delta, \alpha, \beta, c] \subset \Sigma_q^n[\delta, \alpha, \beta]$  consisting of functions:

$$F(\zeta) = \frac{\alpha}{\zeta - \delta} + \frac{\alpha(1-\beta)c}{(q+\beta)(1-\delta)} \zeta + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k \zeta^k, \quad (2.4)$$

with  $0 \leq c < 1$ .

**Theorem 2** Let  $F(\zeta)$  be defined by (2.4). Then  $F(\zeta) \in \Sigma_q^n[\delta, \alpha, \beta, c]$  if and only if

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k]_q^n (q[k]_q + \beta)(1 - \delta)a_k \leq \alpha(1 - \beta)(1 - c). \quad (2.5)$$

**Proof.** Putting

$$a_1 = \frac{\alpha(1-\beta)c}{(q+\beta)(1-\delta)} \quad (0 < c < 1), \quad (2.6)$$

in (2.1), we have

$$c_1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{[k]_q^n (q[k]_q + \beta)(1-\delta)}{\alpha(1-\beta)} a_k \leq 1, \quad (2.7)$$

which implies (2.5). The equality occurs for

$$F(\zeta) = \frac{\alpha}{\zeta - \delta} + \frac{\alpha(1-\beta)c}{(q+\beta)(1-\delta)} \zeta + \frac{\alpha(1-\beta)(1-c)}{[k]_q^n (q[k]_q + \beta)(1-\delta)} \zeta^k, \quad (2.8)$$

for  $k \geq 2$ .

**Corollary 2** If  $F(\zeta) \in \Sigma_q^n[\delta, \alpha, \beta, c]$ , then

$$a_k \leq \frac{\alpha(1-\beta)(1-c)}{[k]_q^n (q[k]_q + \beta)(1-\delta)}, \quad (k \geq 2). \quad (2.9)$$

The equality occurs for  $F(\zeta)$  given by (2.8).

**Theorem 3** If  $F \in \Sigma_q^n[\delta, \alpha, \beta, c]$ , then

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k \leq \frac{\alpha(1-\beta)(1-c)}{[2]_q^n (q[2]_q + \beta)(1-\delta)}, \quad (2.10)$$

and

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k]_q a_k \leq \frac{[2]_q \alpha(1-\beta)(1-c)}{[2]_q^n (q[2]_q + \beta)(1-\delta)}. \quad (2.11)$$

**Proof.** Let  $F \in \Sigma_q^n[\delta, \alpha, \beta, c]$ . Then, in view of (2.5), we have

$$[2]_q^n (q[2]_q + \beta)(1 - \delta) \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k \leq \alpha(1 - \beta)(1 - c), \quad (2.12)$$

which immediately yields the first assertion

By appealing to (2.5), we have

$$[2]_q^n (1 - \delta) \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} q[k]_q a_k \leq \alpha(1 - \beta)(1 - c) - \beta [2]_q^n (1 - \delta) \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k, \quad (2.13)$$

which in view of (2.10), can be putten in the form:

$$[2]_q^n (1 - \delta) \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} q[k]_q a_k \leq \alpha(1 - \beta)(1 - c) - \beta \frac{\alpha(1-\beta)(1-c)}{(q[2]_q + \beta)}. \quad (2.14)$$

Simplifying the right hand side of (2.14), we have (2.11).

**Theorem 4** Let  $F(\zeta) \in \Sigma_q^n[\delta, \alpha, \beta, c]$  for  $0 < |\zeta| = r < 1$ .

Then

$$\frac{\alpha}{|\zeta - \delta|} - \frac{\alpha(1 - \beta)c}{(q + \beta)(1 - \delta)} |\zeta| - \frac{\alpha(1 - \beta)(1 - c)}{[2]_q^n (q[2]_q + \beta)(1 - \delta)} |\zeta|^2$$

$$\leq |F(\zeta)| \leq \frac{\alpha}{|\zeta - \delta|} + \frac{\alpha(1-\beta)c}{(q+\beta)(1-\delta)} |\zeta| + \frac{\alpha(1-\beta)(1-c)}{[2]_q^n (q[2]_q + \beta)(1-\delta)} |\zeta|^2, \quad (2.15)$$

with equality for

$$F(\zeta) = \frac{\alpha}{|\zeta - \delta|} + \frac{\alpha(1-\beta)c}{(q+\beta)(1-\delta)} |\zeta| + \frac{\alpha(1-\beta)(1-c)}{[2]_q^n (q[2]_q + \beta)(1-\delta)} |\zeta|^2,$$

where  $\alpha = \text{Res}(\zeta, \delta)$ , with  $0 < c < 1$ .

**Proof.** For  $F(\zeta) \in \Sigma_q^n[\delta, \alpha, \beta, c]$ . Then

$$|F(\zeta)| = \left| \frac{\alpha}{\zeta - \delta} + \frac{\alpha(1-\beta)c}{(q+\beta)(1-\delta)} \zeta + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k \zeta^k \right| \leq \frac{\alpha}{|\zeta - \delta|} + \frac{\alpha(1-\beta)c}{(q+\beta)(1-\delta)} |\zeta| + |\zeta|^2 \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k,$$

and

$$|F(\zeta)| = \left| \frac{\alpha}{\zeta - \delta} + \frac{\alpha(1-\beta)c}{(q+\beta)(1-\delta)} \zeta + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k \zeta^k \right| \geq \frac{\alpha}{|\zeta - \delta|} - \frac{\alpha(1-\beta)c}{(q+\beta)(1-\delta)} |\zeta| - |\zeta|^2 \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k,$$

which in view of (2.10), we have (2.15).

**Theorem 5** Let  $F(\zeta) \in \Sigma_q^n[\delta, \alpha, \beta, c]$  for  $0 < |\zeta| = r < 1$ , then

$$\frac{\alpha}{|\zeta - \delta| |q\zeta - \delta|} - \frac{\alpha(1-\beta)c}{(q+\beta)(1-\delta)} - \frac{\alpha(1-\beta)(1-c)}{[2]_q^{n-1} (q[2]_q + \beta)(1-\delta)} |\zeta| \leq |\partial_q F(\zeta)| \leq \frac{\alpha}{|\zeta - \delta| |q\zeta - \delta|} + \frac{\alpha(1-\beta)c}{(q+\beta)(1-\delta)} + \frac{\alpha(1-\beta)(1-c)}{[2]_q^{n-1} (q[2]_q + \beta)(1-\delta)} |\zeta|,$$

with equality for

$$\partial_q F(\zeta) = \frac{\alpha}{|\zeta - \delta| |q\zeta - \delta|} + \frac{\alpha(1-\beta)c}{(q+\beta)(1-\delta)} + \frac{\alpha(1-\beta)(1-c)}{[2]_q^{n-1} (q[2]_q + \beta)(1-\delta)} |\zeta|.$$

**Proof.** For  $F(\zeta) \in \Sigma_q^n[\delta, \alpha, \beta, c]$ . Then

$$|\partial_q F(\zeta)| = \left| \frac{-\alpha}{(\zeta - \delta)(q\zeta - \delta)} + \frac{\alpha(1-\beta)c}{(q+\beta)(1-\delta)} + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k]_q a_k \zeta^{k-1} \right| \leq \frac{\alpha}{|\zeta - \delta| |q\zeta - \delta|} + \frac{\alpha(1-\beta)c}{(q+\beta)(1-\delta)} + |\zeta| \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k]_q a_k,$$

and

$$|\partial_q F(\zeta)| = \left| \frac{-\alpha}{(\zeta - \delta)(q\zeta - \delta)} + \frac{\alpha(1-\beta)c}{(q+\beta)(1-\delta)} + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k]_q a_k \zeta^{k-1} \right| \geq \frac{\alpha}{|\zeta - \delta| |q\zeta - \delta|} - \frac{\alpha(1-\beta)c}{(q+\beta)(1-\delta)} - |\zeta| \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k]_q a_k,$$

which in view of (2.11), we have the result.

**Theorem 6** Let  $F(\zeta) \in \Sigma_q^n[\delta, \alpha, \beta, c]$ . Then  $F(\zeta)$  is starlike of order  $\nu$  ( $0 \leq \nu < 1$ ) in  $|\zeta - \delta| < |\zeta| < r_1$ , where  $r_1$  is the largest value for which

$$\frac{\alpha(3-\nu)(1-\beta)c}{(q+\beta)(1-\delta)} r^2 + \frac{\alpha(k_0+2-\nu)(1-\beta)(1-c)}{[k_0]_q^n (q[k_0]_q + \beta)(1-\delta)} r^{k+1} \leq \alpha(1-\nu), \quad (2.16)$$

for  $k \geq 2$ . The result is sharp for the function  $F(\zeta)$  given by (2.8).

**Proof.** It is sufficient to show that

$$\left| \frac{(\zeta - \delta)F'(\zeta)}{F(\zeta)} + 1 \right| \leq 1 - \nu, \quad (|\zeta| < r_1). \quad (2.17)$$

We have

$$\left| \frac{(\zeta - \delta)F'(\zeta)}{F(\zeta)} + 1 \right| \leq \frac{2\alpha(1-\beta)c}{(q+\beta)(1-\delta)} |\zeta| + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (k+1)a_k |\zeta|^k \leq \frac{\alpha}{|\zeta - \delta|} - \frac{\alpha(1-\beta)c}{(q+\beta)(1-\delta)} |\zeta| - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k |\zeta|^k. \quad (2.18)$$

Hence for  $|\zeta - \delta| < |\zeta| < r$ , (2.18) hold true if

$$\frac{\alpha(3-\nu)(1-\beta)c}{(q+\beta)(1-\delta)} r^2 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (k+2-\nu)a_k r^{k+1} \leq \alpha(1-\nu),$$

and it follow that from (2.5), we may take

$$a_k \leq \frac{\alpha(1-\beta)(1-c)\lambda_k}{[k]_q^n (q[k]_q + \beta)(1-\delta)}, \quad (k \geq 2),$$

where  $\lambda_k \geq 0$  and  $\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \lambda_k \leq 1$ .

For each fixed  $r$ , we choose the positive integer  $k_0 = k_0(r)$  for which  $\frac{\alpha(k_0+2-\nu)(1-\beta)(1-c)}{[k_0]_q^n (q[k_0]_q + \beta)(1-\delta)} r^{k_0+1}$ , is maximal.

Then it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} (k+2-\nu)a_k r^{k+1} \\ & \leq \frac{\alpha(k_0+2-\nu)(1-\beta)(1-c)}{[k_0]_q^n (q[k_0]_q + \beta)(1-\delta)} r^{k_0+1}, \end{aligned}$$

then  $F$  is starlike of order  $\nu$  in  $|\zeta - \delta| < |\zeta| < r_1$  provided that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\alpha(3-\nu)(1-\beta)c}{(q+\beta)(1-\delta)} r_1^2 \\ & + \frac{\alpha(k_0+2-\nu)(1-\beta)(1-c)}{[k_0]_q^n (q[k_0]_q + \beta)(1-\delta)} r_1^{k_0+1} \\ & \leq \alpha(1-\nu). \end{aligned}$$

We find the value  $r_1 = r_0(n, \alpha, \beta, c, \nu, k)$  and the corresponding integer  $k_0(r_0)$  so that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\alpha(3-\nu)(1-\beta)c}{(q+\beta)(1-\delta)} r_0^2 \\ & + \frac{\alpha(k_0+2-\nu)(1-\beta)(1-c)}{[k_0]_q^n (q[k_0]_q + \beta)(1-\delta)} r_0^{k_0+1} \\ & = \alpha(1-\nu). \end{aligned}$$

Then this value is the radius of starlikeness of order  $\nu$  for function  $F$  belong to class  $\Sigma_q^n[\delta, \alpha, \beta, c]$ .

**Theorem 7** Let  $F(\zeta) \in \Sigma_q^n[\delta, \alpha, \beta, c]$ . Then  $F(\zeta)$  is convex of order  $\nu$  ( $0 \leq \nu < 1$ ) in  $|\zeta - \delta| < |\zeta| < r_2$ , where  $r_2$  is the largest value for which

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\alpha(3-\nu)(1-\beta)c}{(q+\beta)(1-\delta)} r^2 \\ & + \frac{\alpha k_0(k_0+2-\nu)(1-\beta)(1-c)}{[k_0]_q^n (q[k_0]_q + \beta)(1-\delta)} r^{k+1} \\ & \leq \alpha(1-\nu), \end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

for  $k \geq 2$ . The result is sharp for the function  $F(\zeta)$  given by (2.8).

**Proof.** By using the same technique in the proof of Theorem 6 we can show that

$$\left| \frac{(\zeta-\delta)F''(\zeta)}{F'(\zeta)} + 2 \right| \leq 1 - \nu, \quad (|\zeta| < r_2), \tag{2.20}$$

for  $|\zeta - \delta| < |\zeta| < r_2$  with the aid of Theorem 2. Thus, we have the assertion of Theorem 7.

**Theorem 8** The class  $\Sigma_q^n[\delta, \alpha, \beta, c]$  is closed under convex linear compination.

**Proof.** Let  $F(\zeta)$  be defined by (2.4). Define the function  $h(\zeta)$  by

$$h(\zeta) = \frac{\alpha}{\zeta-\delta} + \frac{\alpha(1-\beta)c}{(q+\beta)(1-\delta)} \zeta + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} b_k \zeta^k, \quad b_k \geq 2. \tag{2.21}$$

Suppose that  $F(\zeta)$  and  $h(\zeta)$  are in the class  $\Sigma_q^n[\delta, \alpha, \beta, c]$ , we only need to prove that

$$G(\zeta) = \zeta F(\zeta) + (1-\zeta)h(\zeta) \quad (0 \leq \zeta \leq 1), \tag{2.22}$$

also be in the class. Since

$$\begin{aligned} G(\zeta) &= \frac{\alpha}{\zeta-\delta} + \frac{\alpha(1-\beta)c}{(q+\beta)(1-\delta)} \zeta \\ &+ \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \{\zeta a_k + (1-\zeta)b_k\} \zeta^k, \end{aligned} \tag{2.23}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} [k]_q^n (q[k]_q + \beta)(1-\delta) \{\zeta a_k + (1-\zeta)b_k\} \\ \leq \alpha(1-\beta)(1-c), \end{aligned} \tag{2.24}$$

with the aid of Theorem 2. Hence  $G(\zeta) \in \Sigma_q^n[\delta, \alpha, \beta, c]$ .

**Theorem 9** Let

$$F_1(\zeta) = \frac{\alpha}{\zeta-\delta} + \frac{\alpha(1-\beta)c}{(q+\beta)(1-\delta)} \zeta, \tag{2.25}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} F_k(\zeta) &= \\ \frac{\alpha}{\zeta-\delta} &+ \frac{\alpha(1-\beta)c}{(q+\beta)(1-\delta)} \zeta + \\ & \frac{\alpha(1-\beta)(1-c)}{[k]_q^n (q[k]_q + \beta)(1-\delta)} \zeta^k, \end{aligned} \tag{2.26}$$

for  $k \geq 2$ . Then  $F(\zeta) \in \Sigma_q^n[\delta, \alpha, \beta, c]$  iff

$$F(\zeta) = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \eta_k F_k(\zeta), \tag{2.27}$$

where  $\eta_k \geq 0$  ( $k \geq 2$ ) and

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \eta_k \leq 1. \tag{2.28}$$

**Proof.** Let  $F(\zeta)$  be in the form (2.27). Then from (2.25), (2.26) and (2.28) we have

$$F(\zeta) = \frac{\alpha}{\zeta - \delta} + \frac{\alpha(1-\beta)c}{(q+\beta)(1-\delta)}\zeta + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha(1-\beta)(1-c)\eta_k}{[k]_q^n (q[k]_q + \beta)(1-\delta)} \zeta^k. \quad (2.29)$$

Since

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha(1-\beta)(1-c)\eta_k}{[k]_q^n (q[k]_q + \beta)(1-\delta)} \cdot \frac{[k]_q^n (q[k]_q + \beta)(1-\delta)}{\alpha(1-\beta)(1-c)} = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \eta_k = 1 - \eta_1 \leq 1, \quad (2.30)$$

then, from Theorem 2,  $F(\zeta) \in \Sigma_q^n[\delta, \alpha, \beta, c]$ . Conversely, let  $F(\zeta) \in \Sigma_q^n[\delta, \alpha, \beta, c]$  and satisfies (2.9) for  $k \geq 2$ , then

$$\eta_k = \frac{[k]_q^n (q[k]_q + \beta)(1-\delta)}{\alpha(1-\beta)(1-c)} a_k \leq 1, \quad (2.31)$$

and

$$\eta_1 = 1 - \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \eta_k. \quad (2.32)$$

This completes the proof of the Theorem 9.

**Corollary 3** *The extreme points of the class  $\Sigma_q^n[\delta, \alpha, \beta, c]$  are the functions  $F_k(\zeta)$  ( $k \geq 2$ ) given by (2.25) and (2.26).*

**Theorem 10** *If  $F(\zeta) \in \Sigma_q^n[\delta, \alpha, \beta, c]$ , then*

$$\Sigma_q^n[\delta, \alpha, \beta, c] \subset N_{q,\xi}(F; q), \quad (2.33)$$

where the parameter  $\xi_q$  is given by

$$\xi_q = \frac{[2]_q \alpha(1-\beta)(1-c)}{[2]_q^n (q[2]_q + \beta)(1-\delta)}. \quad (2.34)$$

**Proof.** For  $F(\zeta) \in \Sigma_q^n[\delta, \alpha, \beta, c]$ , from (2.11) of Theorem 3 and in view of (1.10), we get (2.34).

### 3. ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors thanks the referees of the paper for their valuable comments.

### 4. REFERENCES

1. M. K. Aouf, On a certain class of meromorphic univalent functions with positive coefficients, *Rend. Mat. Appl.*, 7 (11) (1991), no. 2, 209-219.
2. M. K. Aouf and H. E. Darwish, Certain meromorphically starlike functions with positive and fixed second coefficients, *Tr. J. of Mathematics.*,

- 21 (1997), 311- 316.
3. M. K. Aouf and S. M. Madian, Inclusion and properties neighbourhood for certain p-valent functions associated with complex order and q-p-valent Cătaş operator, *J. Sci. Taibah Univer. Sci.*, 14(1) (2020), 1226-1232.
4. M. K. Aouf, A. O. Mostafa and F. Y. AL-Quhali, Properties for class of  $\beta$ - uniformly univalent functions defined by Sălăgean type q-difference operator, *Int. J. Open Probl. Complex Anal.*, 11 (2019), no. 2, 1-16.
5. J. Clunie, On meromorphic schlicht functions, *J. Lond. Math.Soc.*, 34 (1959), 215-216.
6. B. A. Frasin and M. Darus, On certain meromorphic functions with positive coefficients, *Southeast Asian Bull. Math.*, 28 (2004), 615-623.
7. F. Ghanim and M. Darus, On certain subclass of meromorphic univalent functions with fixed residue, *Far East J. Math. Sci. (FJMS).*, 26 (2007), 195- 207.
8. F. Ghanim and M. Darus, A new subclass of uniformly starlike and convex functions with negative coefficients, *Int. J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 45 (4) (2008), 559-572.
9. F. Ghanim and M. Darus, On a certain subclass of meromorphic univalent functions with fixed second positive coefficients, *Surveys in Mathematics and its Applications.*, 5 (2010), 49-60.
10. R. M. Goel and N. S. Sohi, On a class of meromorphic functions, *Glas. Mat.*, 3. Ser., 17 (37) (1982), 19-28.
11. A.W. Goodman, Univalent functions and nonanalytic curves, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 8 (1957), 598-601.
12. Jinxi Ma, Extreme points and minimal outer area problem for meromorphic univalent functions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 220 (2) (1998), 769-773.
13. J. Miller, Convex meromorphic mappings and related functions, *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.*, 25 (1970), 220-228.
14. St. Ruscheweyh, Neighborhoods of univalent functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 81 (1981) 521-527.
15. H. M. Srivastava and S. Owa, *Current Topics In Analytic Functions Theory*, World Scientific, Singapore, 1992.
16. H. Tang, H. M. Zayed, A. O. Mostafa and M. K. Aouf, Fekete-Szegő problems for certain classes of meromorphic functions using q-derivative operator, *J. Math. Resear. Appl.*, 38 (2018), no. 3, 236-246.
17. B. A. Uralgaddi and M. D. Ganigi, A certain class of meromorphically starlike functions with positive coefficients, *Pure Appl. Math. Sci.*, 26 (1987), 75-81.

18. B. A. Uralegaddi and C. Somanatha, Certain differential operators for meromorphic functions, *Houston J. Math.*, 17 (1991), 279-284.
19. B. A. Uralegaddi and C. Somanatha, New criteria for meromorphic starlike univalent functions, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.*, 43 (1991), 137-140.

