



Some Properties of Certain New Subclasses of Analytic Functions

Rabha M. El-Ashwah^{1,*}, and Alaa H. Hassan²

¹Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Damietta University,
New Damietta 34517, Egypt

²Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Zagazig University,
Zagazig 44519, Egypt

Abstract: In this paper, we introduce some new subclasses of analytic functions related to starlike, convex, close-to-convex and quasi-convex functions defined by using a generalized operator. Inclusion relationships for these subclasses are established. Also, we introduce some integral-preserving properties. Moreover, connections of the results presented here with those obtained in earlier works are pointed out.

Keywords: Analytic function, starlike function, convex function, close-to-convex, quasi-convex,
2010 MSC: 30C45

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathbf{A} denotes the class of functions $f(z)$ which are analytic in $U = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ and be given by

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_n z^n. \quad (1)$$

Let S denotes the subclass of \mathbf{A} consisting of univalent functions in U . A function $f(z) \in S$ is called starlike function of order α ($0 \leq \alpha < 1$) if and only if

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} > \alpha \quad (0 \leq \alpha < 1; z \in U), \quad (2)$$

we denote the class of starlike functions by $S^*(\alpha)$. Also, a function $f(z) \in S$ is called convex function of order α ($0 \leq \alpha < 1$) if and only if

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right\} > \alpha \quad (0 \leq \alpha < 1; z \in U). \quad (3)$$

We denote this class by $C(\alpha)$. It is well known that:

$$f(z) \in C(\alpha) \Leftrightarrow zf'(z) \in S^*(\alpha) \quad (0 \leq \alpha < 1; z \in U). \quad (4)$$

A function $f(z) \in \mathbf{A}$ is called close-to-convex function of order β ($0 \leq \beta < 1$) and type α ($0 \leq \alpha < 1$), if there exist a function $g(z) \in S^*(\alpha)$ such that

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)} \right\} > \beta (0 \leq \beta < 1; z \in U), \quad (5)$$

we denote this class by $K(\beta, \alpha)$. Also, a function $f(z) \in \mathbf{A}$ is called quasi-convex function of order $\beta (0 \leq \beta < 1)$ and type $\alpha (0 \leq \alpha < 1)$, if there exists a function $g(z) \in C(\alpha)$ such that

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{(zf'(z))'}{g'(z)} \right\} > \beta (0 \leq \beta < 1; z \in U), \quad (6)$$

we denote this class by $K^*(\beta, \alpha)$. Similarly, It is well known that:

$$f(z) \in K^*(\beta, \alpha) \Leftrightarrow zf'(z) \in K(\beta, \alpha) \quad (0 \leq \alpha, \beta < 1; z \in U). \quad (7)$$

For further information about starlike, convex, close-to-convex and quasi-convex function, see [18], [23], [25], [27], [31], [33], [36] and [37] etc.

Following the recent work of El-Ashwah and Aouf [11] and [10, with $p=1$], for $m \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$, $\lambda > 0$, $l > -1$ and $f(z) \in \mathbf{A}$ given by (1), the integral operator $L_{\lambda, l}^m$ is defined as follows:

$$L_{\lambda, l}^m f(z) = \begin{cases} f(z), & m = 0, \\ \frac{l+1}{\lambda} z^{1-\frac{l+1}{\lambda}} \int_0^z t^{\frac{l+1}{\lambda}-2} L_{\lambda, l}^{m-1} f(t) dt, & m = 1, 2, \dots \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

It is clear from (8) that:

$$L_{\lambda, l}^m f(z) = z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{l+1}{l + \lambda(n-1) + 1} \right)^m a_n z^n \quad (\lambda > 0; l > -1; m \in \mathbb{N}_0). \quad (9)$$

Also, for $A > 0$ and $a, c \in \mathbb{C}$, are such that $\operatorname{Re}\{c-a\} \geq 0$, Raina and Sharma [32] defined the integral operator $J_A^{a, c} : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$, as follows:

(i) for $\operatorname{Re}\{c-a\} > 0$ and $\operatorname{Re}\{a\} > -A$ by

$$J_A^{a, c} f(z) = \frac{\Gamma(c+A)}{\Gamma(a+A)\Gamma(c-a)} \int_0^1 (1-t)^{c-a-1} t^{a-1} f(zt^A) dt; \quad (10)$$

(ii) for $a=c$ by

$$J_A^{a, a} f(z) = f(z). \quad (11)$$

For $f(z)$ defined by (1), it is easily from (10) and (11) that:

$$J_A^{a, c} f(z) = z + \frac{\Gamma(c+A)}{\Gamma(a+A)} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(a+nA)}{\Gamma(c+nA)} a_n z^n. \quad (12)$$

$$(A > 0; a, c \in \mathbb{C}; \operatorname{Re}\{c-a\} \geq 0; \operatorname{Re}\{a\} > -A)$$

By combining the two linear operators $L_{\lambda, l}^m$ and $J_A^{a, c}$. Then, the generalized operator

$$I_{\lambda, l}^m(a, c, A) : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{A},$$

is defined for the purpose of this paper as follows:

$$I_{\lambda, l}^m(a, c, A) f(z) = L_{\lambda, l}^m \left(J_A^{a, c} f(z) \right) = J_A^{a, c} \left(L_{\lambda, l}^m f(z) \right), \quad (13)$$

which can be easily expressed as follows:

$$I_{\lambda,l}^m(a,c,A)f(z) = z + \frac{\Gamma(c+A)}{\Gamma(a+A)} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(a+nA)}{\Gamma(c+nA)} \left(\frac{l+1}{l+\lambda(n-1)+1} \right)^m a_n z^n, \quad (14)$$

$$(A > 0; a, c \in \mathbb{C}; \operatorname{Re}\{c-a\} \geq 0; \operatorname{Re}\{a\} > -A; \lambda > 0; l > -1; m \in \mathbb{N}_0).$$

In view of (1.8), (1.11) and (1.13), it is clear that:

$$I_{\lambda,l}^0(a,c,A)f(z) = J_A^{a,c}f(z) \quad \text{and} \quad I_{\lambda,l}^m(a,a,A)f(z) = L_{\lambda,l}^m f(z). \quad (15)$$

By specializing the parameters in (1.14), we note that the operator $I_{\lambda,l}^m(a,c,A)$ generalizes a lot of previous operators, as follows:

- (i) $I_{\lambda,l}^m(\mu-1,0,1)f(z) = I_{\lambda,l,\mu}^m f(z) (\lambda > 0; l > -1; \mu > 0; m \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \{0,1,2,\dots\})$ (see Aouf and El-Ashwah [3]);
- (ii) $I_{1,l}^s(\mu-1,0,1)f(z) = I_{l,\mu}^s f(z) (l > -1; \mu > 0; s \in \mathbb{R})$ (see Cho and Kim [8]);
- (iii) $I_{\lambda,0}^m(\mu-1,0,1)f(z) = I_{\lambda,\mu}^m f(z) (\lambda > 0; \mu > 0; m \in \mathbb{Z})$ (see Aouf et al. [2]);
- (iv) $I_{\lambda,l}^{-n}(a,a,A)f(z) = I^n(\lambda,l)f(z) (\lambda > 0; l > -1; n \in \mathbb{N}_0)$ (see Catas [7]);
- (v) $I_{\lambda,l}^m(a,a,A)f(z) = J^m(\lambda,l)f(z) (\lambda > 0; l > -1; m \in \mathbb{N}_0)$ (see El-Ashwah and Aouf [10]);
- (vi) $I_{\lambda,0}^{-n}(a,a,A)f(z) = I_{\lambda}^n f(z) (\lambda > 0; n \in \mathbb{Z})$ (see Patel [30]);
- (vii) $I_{1,\alpha-1}^{\mu}(a,a,A)f(z) = L_{\alpha}^{\mu} f(z) (\mu > 0; \alpha > 0)$ (see Komatu [16]; Aouf [1]);
- (viii) $I_{1,1}^{\sigma}(a,a,A)f(z) = L^{\sigma} f(z) (\sigma > 0)$ (see Jung et al. [15]; Liu [19]);
- (ix) $I_{1,1}^{\beta}(a,a,A)f(z) = L^{\beta} f(z) (\beta \in \mathbb{Z})$ (see Uralegaddi and Somanatha [39]; Flett [12]);
- (x) $I_{1,0}^n(a,a,A)f(z) = I^n f(z) (n \in \mathbb{N}_0)$ and $I_{1,0}^{-n}(a,a,A)f(z) = D^n f(z) (n \in \mathbb{N}_0)$ (see Salagean [35]);
- (xi) $I_{1,l}^{\mu}(a,a,A)f(z) = P_l^{\mu} f(z) (\mu > 0; l > -1)$ (see Gao et al. [13]);
- (xii) $I_{1,\sigma}^1(a,a,A)f(z) = L_{\sigma}^1 f(z) (\sigma > 0)$ (see Owa and Srivastava [29]; Srivastava and Owa [38]);
- (xiii) $I_{\lambda,l}^0(\beta,\alpha+\beta-\gamma+1,1)f(z) = \mathfrak{R}_{\beta}^{\alpha,\gamma} f(z) (\gamma > 0; \alpha \geq \gamma-1; \beta > -1)$ (see Aouf et al. [4]);
- (xiv) $I_{\lambda,l}^0(\beta,\alpha+\beta,1)f(z) = Q_{\beta}^{\alpha} f(z) (\alpha \geq 0; \beta > -1)$ (see Liu and Owa [21]; Jung et al. [15]; Li [17]; Liu [20]);
- (xv) $I_{\lambda,l}^0(a-1,c-1,1)f(z) = L(a,c)f(z) (a,c \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^-, \mathbb{Z}_0^- = \{0,-1,-2,\dots\})$ (see Carlson and Shaffer [6]);
- (xvi) $I_{\lambda,l}^0(\mu-1,\nu,1)f(z) = I_{\nu,\mu} f(z) (\mu > 0; \nu > -1)$ (see Choi et al. [9]);
- (xvii) $I_{\lambda,l}^0(\alpha,0,1)f(z) = D^{\alpha} f(z) (\alpha > -1)$ (see Ruscheweyh [34]);
- (xviii) $I_{\lambda,l}^0(1,n,1)f(z) = D^n f(z) (n \in \mathbb{N}_0)$ (see Noor [26]; Noor and Noor [28]).

Using (1.14), we can obtain the following recurrence relations, which are needed for our proofs in following two sections:

$$z \left(I_{\lambda,l}^{m+1}(a,c,A)f(z) \right)' = \frac{1+l}{\lambda} I_{\lambda,l}^m(a,c,A)f(z) - \frac{1+l-\lambda}{\lambda} I_{\lambda,l}^{m+1}(a,c,A)f(z), \quad (16)$$

$$z \left(I_{\lambda,l}^m(a,c,A)f(z) \right)' = \frac{a+A}{A} I_{\lambda,l}^m(a+1,c,A)f(z) - \frac{a}{A} I_{\lambda,l}^m(a,c,A)f(z). \quad (17)$$

Definition 1. By using the operator $I_{\lambda,l}^m(a,c,A)f(z)$ defined by (14), we introduce the following subclasses of the class \mathbf{A} , as follows:

$$S_{\lambda,l}^{*m}(a,c,A;\alpha) = \left\{ f : f(z) \in \mathbf{A} \text{ and } I_{\lambda,l}^m(a,c,A)f(z) \in S^*(\alpha) \right\}, \quad (18)$$

$$C_{\lambda,l}^m(a,c,A;\alpha) = \left\{ f : f(z) \in \mathbf{A} \text{ and } I_{\lambda,l}^m(a,c,A)f(z) \in C(\alpha) \right\}, \quad (19)$$

$$K_{\lambda,l}^m(a,c,A;\beta,\alpha) = \left\{ f : f(z) \in \mathbf{A} \text{ and } I_{\lambda,l}^m(a,c,A)f(z) \in K(\beta,\alpha) \right\}, \quad (20)$$

$$K_{\lambda,l}^{*m}(a,c,A;\beta,\alpha) = \left\{ f : f(z) \in \mathbf{A} \text{ and } I_{\lambda,l}^m(a,c,A)f(z) \in K^*(\beta,\alpha) \right\}, \quad (21)$$

$$(A > 0, a, c \in \mathbb{C}, \operatorname{Re}\{c-a\} \geq 0, \operatorname{Re}\{a\} > -A, \lambda > 0, l > -1, 0 \leq \alpha, \beta < 1, m \in \mathbb{N}_0).$$

Remark 1. If we set $a = c$ in Definition 1, we obtain the following subclasses of \mathbf{A} :

$$S_{\lambda,l}^{*m}(\alpha) = \left\{ f : f(z) \in \mathbf{A} \text{ and } L_{\lambda,k}^m f(z) \in S^*(\alpha) \right\}, \quad (22)$$

$$C_{\lambda,l}^m(\alpha) = \left\{ f : f(z) \in \mathbf{A} \text{ and } L_{\lambda,k}^m f(z) \in C(\alpha) \right\}, \quad (23)$$

$$K_{\lambda,l}^m(\beta,\alpha) = \left\{ f : f(z) \in \mathbf{A} \text{ and } L_{\lambda,k}^m f(z) \in K(\beta,\alpha) \right\}, \quad (24)$$

$$K_{\lambda,l}^{*m}(\beta,\alpha) = \left\{ f : f(z) \in \mathbf{A} \text{ and } L_{\lambda,k}^m f(z) \in K^*(\beta,\alpha) \right\}. \quad (25)$$

Where $L_{\lambda,k}^m f(z)$ is defined by (9).

Remark 2. If we set $m = 0$ in Definition 1, we obtain the following subclasses of \mathbf{A} :

$$S^*(a,c,A;\alpha) = \left\{ f : f(z) \in \mathbf{A} \text{ and } J_A^{a,c} f(z) \in S^*(\alpha) \right\}, \quad (26)$$

$$C(a,c,A;\alpha) = \left\{ f : f(z) \in \mathbf{A} \text{ and } J_A^{a,c} f(z) \in C(\alpha) \right\}, \quad (27)$$

$$K(a,c,A;\beta,\alpha) = \left\{ f : f(z) \in \mathbf{A} \text{ and } J_A^{a,c} f(z) \in K(\beta,\alpha) \right\}, \quad (28)$$

$$K^*(a,c,A;\beta,\alpha) = \left\{ f : f(z) \in \mathbf{A} \text{ and } J_A^{a,c} f(z) \in K^*(\beta,\alpha) \right\}. \quad (29)$$

Where $J_A^{a,c} f(z)$ is defined by (12).

In order to introduce our main results, we shall need the following lemma which is given by Miller and Mocanu [24].

Lemma 1. Let $u = u_1 + iu_2$, $v = v_1 + iv_2$ and let $\psi(u,v)$ be a complex-valued function such that

$$\psi : D \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \quad (D \subset \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}).$$

Suppose also that the function $\psi(u,v)$ satisfies each of the following conditions:

(i) $\psi(u,v)$ is continuous in D ;

(ii) $(1,0) \in D$ and $\operatorname{Re}\{\psi(1,0)\} > 0$;

(iii) $\operatorname{Re}\{\psi(iu_2, v_1)\} \leq 0$ for all $(iu_2, v_1) \in D$ such that

$$v_1 \leq -\frac{1}{2}(1+u_2^2). \quad (30)$$

Let

$$h(z) = 1 + c_1 z + c_2 z^2 + \dots, \quad (31)$$

be analytic in U such that $(h(z), zh'(z)) \in D$ ($z \in U$). If $Re\{\psi(h(z), zh'(z))\} > 0$ ($z \in U$), then $Re\{h(z)\} > 0$ for $z \in U$.

2. INCLUSION RELATIONSHIPS

Unless otherwise mentioned, we shall assume throughout the paper that $A > 0$, $a, c \in \mathbb{C}$, $Re\{c - a\} \geq 0$, $Re\{a\} > -A$, $\lambda > 0$, $l > -1$, $0 \leq \alpha, \beta < 1$, $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $f(z) \in \mathbf{A}$.

In this section, we give several inclusion relationships for analytic function classes, which are associated with the generalized operator $I_{\lambda, l}^m(a, c, A)f(z)$ defined by (14).

Theorem 1. Let $a = a_1 + ia_2$ with $Re\{a\} > -A\alpha$, then

$$S_{\lambda, l}^{*m}(a+1, c, A; \alpha) \subset S_{\lambda, l}^{*m}(a, c, A; \alpha) \subset S_{\lambda, l}^{*(m+1)}(a, c, A; \alpha). \quad (32)$$

Proof. (i) we begin with showing the first inclusion relationship

$$S_{\lambda, l}^{*m}(a+1, c, A; \alpha) \subset S_{\lambda, l}^{*m}(a, c, A; \alpha), \quad (33)$$

which is asserted by Theorem 1. Let $f(z) \in S_{\lambda, l}^{*(m+1)}(a+1, c, A; \alpha)$ and set

$$\frac{z \left(I_{\lambda, l}^m(a, c, A)f(z) \right)'}{I_{\lambda, l}^m(a, c, A)f(z)} - \alpha = (1 - \alpha)h(z), \quad (34)$$

where $h(z)$ is defined by (31). By using the identity (17) and (34), we obtain

$$\frac{a + A}{A} \frac{I_{\lambda, l}^m(a+1, c, A)f(z)}{I_{\lambda, l}^m(a, c, A)f(z)} = (1 - \alpha)h(z) + \alpha + \frac{A}{a}. \quad (35)$$

By using logarithmic differentiation on both sides of (35), we obtain

$$\frac{z \left(I_{\lambda, l}^m(a+1, c, A)f(z) \right)'}{I_{\lambda, l}^m(a+1, c, A)f(z)} = \frac{z \left(I_{\lambda, l}^m(a, c, A)f(z) \right)'}{I_{\lambda, l}^m(a, c, A)f(z)} + \frac{(1 - \alpha)zh'(z)}{(1 - \alpha)h(z) + \alpha + \frac{A}{a}},$$

using (34) in the above equation, we obtain

$$\frac{z \left(I_{\lambda, l}^m(a+1, c, A)f(z) \right)'}{I_{\lambda, l}^m(a+1, c, A)f(z)} - \alpha = (1 - \alpha)h(z) + \frac{(1 - \alpha)zh'(z)}{(1 - \alpha)h(z) + \alpha + \frac{A}{a}}.$$

or

$$\frac{z \left(I_{\lambda, l}^m(a+1, c, A)f(z) \right)'}{I_{\lambda, l}^m(a+1, c, A)f(z)} - \alpha = (1 - \alpha)h(z) + \frac{A(1 - \alpha)zh'(z)}{A[(1 - \alpha)h(z) + \alpha] + a}. \quad (36)$$

Now, we choose $u = h(z) = u_1 + iu_2$ and $v = zh'(z) = v_1 + iv_2$, and define the function $\psi(u, v)$ by

$$\psi(u, v) = (1 - \alpha)u + \frac{A(1 - \alpha)v}{A[(1 - \alpha)u + \alpha] + a}.$$

It is easy to see that the function $\psi(u, v)$ satisfies conditions (i) and (ii) of Lemma 1 in $D = \left(\mathbb{C} \setminus \left\{\frac{\alpha A + a}{A(\alpha - 1)}\right\}\right) \times \mathbb{C}$. Also, we verify condition (iii) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}\{\psi(iu_2, v_1)\} &= \operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{A(1-\alpha)v_1}{A[(1-\alpha)iu_2 + \alpha] + a}\right\} = \frac{A(\alpha A + a_1)(1-\alpha)v_1}{\left[(A\alpha + a_1)^2 + (A(1-\alpha)u_2 + a_2)^2\right]} \\ &\leq -\frac{A(\alpha A + a_1)(1-\alpha)(1+u_2^2)}{2\left[(A\alpha + a_1)^2 + (A(1-\alpha)u_2 + a_2)^2\right]} < 0. \end{aligned}$$

Which shows that $\psi(u, v) = \psi(h(z), zh'(z))$ ($z \in U$) satisfies the hypotheses of the Lemma 1, then $\operatorname{Re}\{h(z)\} > 0$ ($z \in U$), then using (34), we have $f(z) \in S_{\lambda, l}^{*m}(a, c, A; \alpha)$. This completes the proof of (33).

(ii) Now, we prove

$$S_{\lambda, l}^{*m}(a, c, A; \alpha) \subset S_{\lambda, l}^{*m+1}(a, c, A; \alpha), \quad (37)$$

which is the second inclusion relationship of Theorem 1. Let $f(z) \in S_{\lambda, l}^{*m}(a, c, A; \alpha)$ and set

$$\frac{z \left(I_{\lambda, l}^{m+1}(a, c, A)f(z)\right)'}{I_{\lambda, l}^{m+1}(a, c, A)f(z)} - \alpha = (1-\alpha)h(z), \quad (38)$$

where $h(z)$ is defined by (31). By applying the identity (16) in (38), we obtain

$$\frac{1+l}{\lambda} \frac{I_{\lambda, l}^m(a, c, A)f(z)}{I_{\lambda, l}^{m+1}(a, c, A)f(z)} = (1-\alpha)h(z) + \alpha + \frac{1+l-\lambda}{\lambda}. \quad (39)$$

By using logarithmic differentiation on both sides of (39), we obtain

$$\frac{z \left(I_{\lambda, l}^m(a, c, A)f(z)\right)'}{I_{\lambda, l}^m(a, c, A)f(z)} - \frac{z \left(I_{\lambda, l}^{m+1}(a, c, A)f(z)\right)'}{I_{\lambda, l}^{m+1}(a, c, A)f(z)} = \frac{(1-\alpha)zh'(z)}{(1-\alpha)h(z) + \alpha + \frac{1+l-\lambda}{\lambda}},$$

using (38) in the above equation, we have

$$\frac{z \left(I_{\lambda, l}^m(a, c, A)f(z)\right)'}{I_{\lambda, l}^m(a, c, A)f(z)} - \alpha = (1-\alpha)h(z) + \frac{\lambda(1-\alpha)zh'(z)}{\lambda((1-\alpha)h(z) + \alpha) + (1+l-\lambda)}. \quad (40)$$

By using arguments similar to those detailed before, together with (40) and $\psi(u, v)$ is continuous in $D = \left(\mathbb{C} \setminus \left\{1 - \frac{l+1}{\lambda(1-\alpha)}\right\}\right) \times \mathbb{C}$, then we can prove (37), which is the second inclusion relationship of Theorem 1. Combining the inclusion relationships (33) and (37), we complete the proof of Theorem 1.

Theorem 2. Let $a = a_1 + ia_2$ with $\operatorname{Re}\{a\} > -A\alpha$, then

$$C_{\lambda, l}^m(a+1, c, A; \alpha) \subset C_{\lambda, l}^m(a, c, A; \alpha) \subset C_{\lambda, l}^{m+1}(a, c, A; \alpha). \quad (41)$$

Proof. We first show that

$$C_{\lambda, l}^m(a+1, c, A; \alpha) \subset C_{\lambda, l}^m(a, c, A; \alpha). \quad (42)$$

Let $f(z) \in C_{\lambda, l}^m(a+1, c, A; \alpha)$. Then, using Theorem 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_{\lambda,l}^m(a+1,c,A)f(z) \in C(\alpha) &\Leftrightarrow z \left(I_{\lambda,l}^m(a+1,c,A)f(z) \right)' \in S^*(\alpha) \\
 &\Leftrightarrow I_{\lambda,l}^m(a+1,c,A)zf'(z) \in S^*(\alpha) \\
 &\Leftrightarrow zf'(z) \in S_{\lambda,l}^{*m}(a+1,c,A;\alpha) \\
 &\Rightarrow zf'(z) \in S_{\lambda,l}^{*m}(a,c,A;\alpha) \\
 &\Leftrightarrow I_{\lambda,l}^m(a,c,A)zf'(z) \in S^*(\alpha) \\
 &\Leftrightarrow z \left(I_{\lambda,l}^m(a,c,A)f(z) \right)' \in S^*(\alpha) \\
 &\Leftrightarrow I_{\lambda,l}^m(a,c,A)f(z) \in C(\alpha) \\
 &\Leftrightarrow f(z) \in C_{\lambda,l}^m(a,c,A;\alpha).
 \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of (42). By using arguments similar to those detailed above, we can also prove the right part of Theorem 2, that is, that

$$C_{\lambda,l}^m(a,c,A;\alpha) \subset C_{\lambda,l}^{m+1}(a,c,A;\alpha). \quad (43)$$

Combining the inclusion relationships (42) and (43), then the proof of Theorem 2 is completed.

Theorem 3. Let $a = a_1 + ia_2$ with $Re\{a\} > -A\alpha$, then

$$K_{\lambda,l}^m(a+1,c,A;\beta,\alpha) \subset K_{\lambda,l}^m(a,c,A;\beta,\alpha) \subset K_{\lambda,l}^{m+1}(a,c,A;\beta,\alpha). \quad (44)$$

Proof. Let us begin with proving that

$$K_{\lambda,l}^m(a+1,c,A;\beta,\alpha) \subset K_{\lambda,l}^m(a,c,A;\beta,\alpha). \quad (45)$$

Let $f(z) \in K_{\lambda,l}^m(a+1,c,A;\beta,\alpha)$. Then there exists a function $g(z) \in S^*(\alpha)$ such that

$$Re \left\{ \frac{z \left(I_{\lambda,l}^m(a+1,c,A)f(z) \right)'}{g(z)} \right\} > \beta \quad (z \in U).$$

We put $g(z) = I_{\lambda,l}^m(a+1,c,A)k(z)$, so that we have $k(z) \in S_{\lambda,l}^{*m}(a+1,c,A;\alpha)$ and

$$Re \left\{ \frac{z \left(I_{\lambda,l}^m(a+1,c,A)f(z) \right)'}{I_{\lambda,l}^m(a+1,c,A)k(z)} \right\} > \beta \quad (z \in U).$$

Next, we put

$$\frac{z \left(I_{\lambda,l}^m(a,c,A)f(z) \right)'}{I_{\lambda,l}^m(a,c,A)k(z)} = (1-\beta)h(z) + \beta, \quad (46)$$

where $h(z)$ is given by (31). Thus, by using the identity (17), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{z \left(I_{\lambda,l}^m(a+1,c,A)f(z) \right)'}{I_{\lambda,l}^m(a+1,c,A)k(z)} &= \frac{I_{\lambda,l}^m(a+1,c,A)zf'(z)}{I_{\lambda,l}^m(a+1,c,A)k(z)} \\
 &= \frac{\frac{A}{A+a} z \left(I_{\lambda,l}^m(a,c,A)zf'(z) \right)' + \frac{a}{A+a} I_{\lambda,l}^m(a,c,A)zf'(z)}{\frac{A}{A+a} z \left(I_{\lambda,l}^m(a,c,A)k(z) \right)' + \frac{a}{A+a} I_{\lambda,l}^m(a,c,A)k(z)} \\
 &= \frac{A \frac{z \left(I_{\lambda,l}^m(a,c,A)zf'(z) \right)'}{I_{\lambda,l}^m(a,c,A)k(z)} + a \frac{I_{\lambda,l}^m(a,c,A)zf'(z)}{I_{\lambda,l}^m(a,c,A)k(z)}}{A \frac{z \left(I_{\lambda,l}^m(a,c,A)k(z) \right)'}{I_{\lambda,l}^m(a,c,A)k(z)} + a}.
 \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

Since $k(z) \in S_{\lambda,l}^{*m}(a+1, c, A; \alpha)$, by using Theorem 1, we can put

$$\frac{z \left(I_{\lambda,l}^m(a, c, A)k(z) \right)'}{I_{\lambda,l}^m(a, c, A)k(z)} = (1-\alpha)G(z) + \alpha, \quad (48)$$

where $G(z) = g_1(x, y) + ig_2(x, y)$ and $Re\{G(z)\} = g_1(x, y) > 0$ ($z \in U$). Using (46) and (48) in (47), we have

$$\frac{z \left(I_{\lambda,l}^m(a+1, c, A)f(z) \right)'}{I_{\lambda,l}^m(a+1, c, A)k(z)} = \frac{A \frac{z \left(I_{\lambda,l}^m(a, c, A)zf'(z) \right)'}{I_{\lambda,l}^m(a, c, A)k(z)} + a[\beta + (1-\beta)h(z)]}{A[(1-\alpha)G(z) + \alpha] + a}. \quad (49)$$

Moreover, from (46), we can put

$$z \left(I_{\lambda,l}^m(a, c, A)f(z) \right)' = [(1-\beta)h(z) + \beta]I_{\lambda,l}^m(a, c, A)k(z). \quad (50)$$

Differentiating both sides of (50) with respect to z , and using (46) and (48), we obtain

$$\frac{z \left(I_{\lambda,l}^m(a, c, A)zf'(z) \right)'}{I_{\lambda,l}^m(a, c, A)k(z)} = (1-\beta)zh'(z) + [(1-\beta)h(z) + \beta][(1-\alpha)G(z) + \alpha]. \quad (51)$$

By substituting (51) into (49), we obtain

$$\frac{z \left(I_{\lambda,l}^m(a+1, c, A)f(z) \right)'}{I_{\lambda,l}^m(a+1, c, A)k(z)} - \beta = (1-\beta)h(z) + \frac{A(1-\beta)zh'(z)}{A[(1-\alpha)G(z) + \alpha] + a}. \quad (52)$$

In (52), take $u = h(z) = u_1 + iu_2$, $v = zh'(z) = v_1 + iv_2$ and define the function $\psi(u, v)$ by

$$\psi(u, v) = (1-\beta)u + \frac{A(1-\beta)v}{A[(1-\alpha)G(z) + \alpha] + a}, \quad (53)$$

where $(u, v) \in D = \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$. Then it follows from (53) that:

- (i) $\psi(u, v)$ is continuous in D ;
- (ii) $(1, 0) \in D$ and $Re\{\psi(1, 0)\} = 1 - \beta > 0$;
- (iii) for all $(iu_2, v_1) \in D$ such that $v_1 \leq -\frac{1}{2}(1 + u_2^2)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} Re\{\psi(iu_2, v_1)\} &= Re\left\{ \frac{A(1-\beta)v_1}{A[(1-\alpha)G(z) + \alpha] + a} \right\} \\ &= \frac{(A(1-\beta)v_1)(A[(1-\alpha)g_1(z) + \alpha] + a_1)}{(A[(1-\alpha)g_1(z) + \alpha] + a_1)^2 + (A(1-\alpha)g_2(z) + a_2)^2} \\ &= -\frac{(A(1-\beta)(1+u_2^2))(A[(1-\alpha)g_1(z) + \alpha] + a_1)}{2\left[(A[(1-\alpha)g_1(z) + \alpha] + a_1)^2 + (A(1-\alpha)g_2(z) + a_2)^2 \right]} \\ &< 0, \end{aligned}$$

which shows that $\psi(u, v)$ satisfies the hypotheses of Lemma 1. Thus, in light of (46), we easily deduce the inclusion relationship (45).

The remainder of our proof of Theorem 3 would make use of the identity (16) in an analogous manner. Therefore, we choose to omit the details involved.

Theorem 4. Let $a = a_1 + ia_2$ with $Re \{a\} > -A\alpha$, then

$$K_{\lambda,l}^{*m}(a+1,c,A;\beta,\alpha) \subset K_{\lambda,l}^{*m}(a,c,A;\beta,\alpha) \subset K_{\lambda,l}^{*m+1}(a,c,A;\beta,\alpha). \quad (54)$$

Proof. Just, as we derived Theorem 2 as a consequence of Theorem 1 by using the equivalence (4). Similarly, we can prove Theorem 4 by using Theorem 3 in conjunction with the equivalence (7). Therefore, we choose to omit the details involved.

Remark 3.

- (i) Taking $m = s$ ($s \in \mathbb{R}$), $\lambda = 1$, $a = \mu - 1$ ($\mu > 0$), $c = 0$ and $A = 1$ in Theorems 1-3, we obtain the results obtained by Cho and Kim [8, Theorems 2.1-2.3 with $\varphi(z) = \psi(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z}$];
- (ii) Taking $a = \mu - 1$ ($\mu > 0$), $c = 0$ and $A = 1$ in Theorems 1-3, we obtain the results obtained by Aouf and El-Ashwah [3, Theorems 1-3 with $\varphi(z) = \psi(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z}$];
- (iii) Taking $l = 0$, $a = \mu - 1$ ($\mu > 0$), $c = 0$ and $A = 1$ in Theorems 1-3, we obtain the results obtained by Aouf et al. [2, Theorems 1-3 with $\varphi(z) = \psi(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z}$].

Taking $a = c$ in Theorems 1-4, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 1. For the subclasses $S_{\lambda,l}^{*m}(\alpha)$, $C_{\lambda,l}^m(\alpha)$, $K_{\lambda,l}^m(\beta,\alpha)$ and $K_{\lambda,l}^{*m}(\beta,\alpha)$ defined in Remark 1, we have the following inclusion relations.

$$\begin{aligned} S_{\lambda,l}^{*m}(\alpha) &\subset S_{\lambda,l}^{*m+1}(\alpha), \\ C_{\lambda,l}^m(\alpha) &\subset C_{\lambda,l}^{m+1}(\alpha), \\ K_{\lambda,l}^m(\beta,\alpha) &\subset K_{\lambda,l}^{m+1}(\beta,\alpha), \\ K_{\lambda,l}^{*m}(\beta,\alpha) &\subset K_{\lambda,l}^{*m+1}(\beta,\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

Remark 4.

- (i) Taking $\lambda = 1$, $m = \mu$ ($\mu > 0$) and $l = a - 1$ ($a > 0$) in Corollary 1, we obtain the results obtained by Aouf [1, Theorems 1-4];
- (ii) Taking $\lambda = l = 1$ and $m = \sigma$ ($\sigma > 0$) in Corollary 1, we obtain the results obtained by Liu [19, Theorems 1-4].

Taking $m = 0$ in Theorems 1-4, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 2. For the subclasses $S^*(a,c,A;\alpha)$, $C(a,c,A;\alpha)$, $K(a,c,A;\beta,\alpha)$ and $K^*(a,c,A;\beta,\alpha)$ defined in Remark 2, we have the following inclusion relations.

$$\begin{aligned} S^*(a+1,c,A;\alpha) &\subset S^*(a,c,A;\alpha), \\ C(a+1,c,A;\alpha) &\subset C(a,c,A;\alpha), \\ K(a+1,c,A;\beta,\alpha) &\subset K(a,c,A;\beta,\alpha), \\ K^*(a+1,c,A;\beta,\alpha) &\subset K^*(a,c,A;\beta,\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

Remark 5. Taking $\alpha = \beta = 0$, $a = \mu - 1$ ($\mu > 0$), $c = \lambda$ ($\lambda > -1$) and $A = 1$ in the first three inclusion relationships of Corollary 2, we obtain the results obtained by Choi et al. [9, Theorems 1-3, only the first parts with $\varphi(z) = \psi(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z}$].

3. INTEGRAL-PRESERVING PROPERTIES INVOLVING THE INTEGRAL OPERATOR L_σ

For $\sigma > -1$ and $f(z) \in \mathbf{A}$, we recall the generalized Bernardi-Libera-Livingston integral operator $L_\sigma : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$, as following (see Owa and H. M. Srivastava [29]):

$$\begin{aligned} L_\sigma f(z) &= \frac{\sigma+1}{z^\sigma} \int_0^z t^{\sigma-1} f(t) dt \\ &= z + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\sigma+1}{\sigma+n} \right) a_n z^n \quad (\sigma > -1, f(z) \in \mathbf{A}). \end{aligned} \quad (55)$$

The operator $L_\sigma f(z)$ ($\sigma \in \mathbb{N}$) was introduced by Bernardi [5]. In particular, the operator $L_1 f(z)$ was studied earlier by Libera [18] and Livingston [22]. Using (14) and (55), it is clear that $L_\sigma f(z)$ satisfies the following relationship:

$$z \left(I_{\lambda,l}^m(a,c,A) L_\sigma f(z) \right)' = (\sigma+1) I_{\lambda,l}^m(a,c,A) f(z) - \sigma I_{\lambda,l}^m(a,c,A) L_\sigma f(z). \quad (56)$$

In order to obtain the integral-preserving properties involving the integral operator L_σ , we need the following lemma which is known as Jack's Lemma (see [14]).

Lemma 2. [14]. *Let $\omega(z)$ be a non-constant function analytic in U with $\omega(0) = 0$. If $|\omega(z)|$ attains its maximum value on the circle $|z| = r < 1$ at z_0 , then*

$$z_0 \omega'(z_0) = \zeta \omega(z_0),$$

where ζ is a real number and $\zeta \geq 1$.

Theorem 5. *Let $\sigma > -\alpha$. If $f(z) \in S_{\lambda,l}^{*m}(a,c,A;\alpha)$, then*

$$L_\sigma f(z) \in S_{\lambda,l}^{*m}(a,c,A;\alpha).$$

Proof. Suppose that $f(z) \in S_{\lambda,l}^{*m}(a,c,A;\alpha)$ and let

$$\frac{z \left(I_{\lambda,l}^m(a,c,A) L_\sigma f(z) \right)'}{I_{\lambda,l}^m(a,c,A) L_\sigma f(z)} = \frac{1 + (1-2\alpha)\omega(z)}{1-\omega(z)}, \quad (57)$$

where $\omega(0) = 0$. Then, by using (56) and (57), we have

$$\frac{I_{\lambda,l}^m(a,c,A) f(z)}{I_{\lambda,l}^m(a,c,A) L_\sigma f(z)} = \frac{\sigma+1 + (1-\sigma-2\alpha)\omega(z)}{(\sigma+1)(1-\omega(z))}, \quad (58)$$

which, upon logarithmic differentiation, yields

$$\frac{z \left(I_{\lambda,l}^m(a,c,A) f(z) \right)'}{I_{\lambda,l}^m(a,c,A) f(z)} = \frac{1 + (1-2\alpha)\omega(z)}{1-\omega(z)} + \frac{z \omega'(z)}{1-\omega(z)} + \frac{(1-\sigma-2\alpha)z \omega'(z)}{(1-\sigma-2\alpha)\omega(z) + \sigma+1}, \quad (59)$$

so that

$$\frac{z \left(I_{\lambda,l}^m(a,c,A)f(z) \right)'}{I_{\lambda,l}^m(a,c,A)f(z)} - \alpha = (1-\alpha) \frac{1+\omega(z)}{1-\omega(z)} + \frac{z \omega'(z)}{1-\omega(z)} + \frac{(1-\sigma-2\alpha)z \omega'(z)}{(1-\sigma-2\alpha)\omega(z) + \sigma + 1}. \quad (60)$$

Now, assuming that $\max_{|z|=|z_0|} |\omega(z)| = |\omega(z_0)| = 1$ ($z \in U$) and applying Jack's lemma, we obtain

$$z_0 \omega'(z_0) = \zeta \omega(z_0) \quad (\zeta \in \mathbb{R}, \zeta \geq 1). \quad (61)$$

If we set $\omega(z_0) = e^{i\theta}$ ($\theta \in \mathbb{R}$) in (60) and observe that

$$Re \left\{ (1-\alpha) \frac{1+\omega(z_0)}{1-\omega(z_0)} \right\} = 0,$$

then, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} Re \left\{ \frac{z \left(I_{\lambda,l}^m(a,c,A)f(z) \right)'}{I_{\lambda,l}^m(a,c,A)f(z)} - \alpha \right\} &= Re \left\{ \frac{z_0 \omega'(z_0)}{1-\omega(z_0)} + \frac{(1-\sigma-2\alpha)z_0 \omega'(z_0)}{(1-\sigma-2\alpha)\omega(z_0) + \sigma + 1} \right\} \\ &= Re \left\{ \frac{\zeta e^{i\theta}}{1-e^{i\theta}} + \frac{(1-\sigma-2\alpha)\zeta e^{i\theta}}{(1-\sigma-2\alpha)e^{i\theta} + \sigma + 1} \right\} \\ &= -\frac{2\zeta(\sigma+\alpha)(1-\alpha)}{2(1+\sigma)(1-\sigma-2\alpha)\cos\theta + (1+\sigma)^2 + (1-\sigma-2\alpha)^2} \\ &< 0, \end{aligned}$$

which obviously contradicts the hypothesis $f(z) \in S_{\lambda,l}^{*m}(a,c,A;\alpha)$. Consequently, we can deduce that $|\omega(z)| < 1$ ($z \in U$), which, in view of (57), proves the integral-preserving property asserted by Theorem 5.

Taking $a=c$ in Theorem 5, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 3. *Let $\sigma > -\alpha$. If $f(z) \in S_{\lambda,l}^{*m}(\alpha)$, then $L_{\sigma} f(z) \in S_{\lambda,l}^{*m}(\alpha)$, where the subclass $S_{\lambda,l}^{*m}(\alpha)$ is defined by (22).*

Remark 6.

- (i) Taking $\lambda=1$, $m=\mu$ ($\mu > 0$) and $l=a-1$ ($a > 0$) in Corollary 3, we obtain the results obtained by Aouf [1, Theorem 5];
- (ii) Taking $\lambda=l=1$ and $m=\sigma$ ($\sigma > 0$) in Corollary 3, we obtain the results obtained by Liu [19, Theorem 5].

Taking $m=0$ in Theorem 5, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 4. *Let $\sigma > -\alpha$. If $f(z) \in S^*(a,c,A;\alpha)$, then $L_{\sigma} f(z) \in S^*(a,c,A;\alpha)$, where the subclass $S^*(a,c,A;\alpha)$ is defined by (26).*

Remark 7. Taking $\alpha=0$, $a=\mu-1$ ($\mu > 0$), $c=\lambda$ ($\lambda > -1$) and $A=1$ in Corollary 4, we obtain the results obtained by Choi et al. [9, Theorem 4, with $\varphi(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z}$].

Theorem 6. Let $\sigma > -\alpha$. If $f(z) \in C_{\lambda,l}^m(a,c,A;\alpha)$, then

$$L_{\sigma} f(z) \in C_{\lambda,l}^m(a,c,A;\alpha).$$

Proof. By applying Theorem 5, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} f(z) \in C_{\lambda,l}^m(a,c,A;\alpha) &\Leftrightarrow zf'(z) \in S_{\lambda,l}^{*m}(a,c,A;\alpha) \\ &\Rightarrow L_{\sigma}(zf'(z)) \in S_{\lambda,l}^{*m}(a,c,A;\alpha) \\ &\Leftrightarrow z(L_{\sigma}f(z))' \in S_{\lambda,l}^{*m}(a,c,A;\alpha) \\ &\Leftrightarrow L_{\sigma}f(z) \in C_{\lambda,l}^m(a,c,A;\alpha), \end{aligned}$$

which proves Theorem 6.

Taking $a=c$ in Theorem 6, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 5. Let $\sigma > -\alpha$. If $f(z) \in C_{\lambda,l}^m(\alpha)$, then $L_{\sigma}f(z) \in C_{\lambda,l}^m(\alpha)$, where the subclass $C_{\lambda,l}^m(\alpha)$ is defined by (23).

Remark 8.

- (i) Taking $\lambda=1$, $m=\mu$ ($\mu > 0$) and $l=a-1$ ($a > 0$) in Corollary 5, we obtain the result obtained by Aouf [1, Theorem 6];
- (ii) Taking $\lambda=l=1$ and $m=\sigma$ ($\sigma > 0$) in Corollary 5, we obtain the result obtained by Liu [19, Theorem 6].

Taking $m=0$ in Theorem 6, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 6. Let $\sigma > -\alpha$. If $f(z) \in C(a,c,A;\alpha)$, then $L_{\sigma}f(z) \in C(a,c,A;\alpha)$, where the subclass $C(a,c,A;\alpha)$ is defined by (27).

Remark 9. Taking $\alpha=0$, $a=\mu-1$ ($\mu > 0$), $c=\lambda$ ($\lambda > -1$) and $A=1$ in Corollary 6, we obtain the results obtained by Choi et al.[9, Theorem 5, with $\varphi(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z}$].

Theorem 7. Let $\sigma > -\alpha$. If $f(z) \in K_{\lambda,l}^m(a,c,A;\beta,\alpha)$, then

$$L_{\sigma}f(z) \in K_{\lambda,l}^m(a,c,A;\beta,\alpha).$$

Proof. Suppose that $f(z) \in K_{\lambda,l}^m(a,c,A;\beta,\alpha)$. Then, there exists a function $g(z) \in S_{\lambda,l}^{*m}(a,c,A;\alpha)$ such that

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{z \left(I_{\lambda,l}^m(a,c,A)f(z) \right)'}{I_{\lambda,l}^m(a,c,A)g(z)} \right\} > \beta.$$

Thus, upon setting

$$\frac{z \left(I_{\lambda,l}^m(a,c,A)L_{\sigma}f(z) \right)'}{I_{\lambda,l}^m(a,c,A)L_{\sigma}g(z)} - \beta = (1-\beta)h(z), \quad (62)$$

where $h(z)$ is given by (31), we find from (56) that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{z \left(I_{\lambda,l}^m(a,c,A) f(z) \right)'}{I_{\lambda,l}^m(a,c,A) g(z)} &= \frac{I_{\lambda,l}^m(a,c,A) z f'(z)}{I_{\lambda,l}^m(a,c,A) g(z)} \\ &= \frac{z \left(I_{\lambda,l}^m(a,c,A) L_{\sigma} z f'(z) \right)' + \sigma \left(I_{\lambda,l}^m(a,c,A) L_{\sigma} z f'(z) \right)}{z \left(I_{\lambda,l}^m(a,c,A) L_{\sigma} g(z) \right)' + \sigma \left(I_{\lambda,l}^m(a,c,A) L_{\sigma} g(z) \right)} \\ &= \frac{\frac{z \left(I_{\lambda,l}^m(a,c,A) L_{\sigma} z f'(z) \right)'}{I_{\lambda,l}^m(a,c,A) L_{\sigma} g(z)} + \sigma \frac{I_{\lambda,l}^m(a,c,A) L_{\sigma} z f'(z)}{I_{\lambda,l}^m(a,c,A) L_{\sigma} g(z)}}{\frac{z \left(I_{\lambda,l}^m(a,c,A) L_{\sigma} g(z) \right)'}{I_{\lambda,l}^m(a,c,A) L_{\sigma} g(z)} + \sigma}. \end{aligned} \tag{63}$$

Since $g(z) \in S_{\lambda,l}^{*m}(a,c,A;\alpha)$, we know from Theorem 5 that $L_{\sigma} g(z) \in S_{\lambda,l}^{*m}(a,c,A;\alpha)$. Then, we can set

$$\frac{z \left(I_{\lambda,l}^m(a,c,A) L_{\sigma} g(z) \right)'}{I_{\lambda,l}^m(a,c,A) L_{\sigma} g(z)} - \alpha = (1-\alpha) H(z), \tag{64}$$

where $Re\{H(z)\} > 0$. Then, substituting (62) and (64) into (63), we have

$$\frac{z \left(I_{\lambda,l}^m(a,c,A) f(z) \right)'}{I_{\lambda,l}^m(a,c,A) g(z)} = \frac{\frac{z \left(I_{\lambda,l}^m(a,c,A) L_{\sigma} z f'(z) \right)'}{I_{\lambda,l}^m(a,c,A) L_{\sigma} g(z)} + \sigma [\beta + (1-\beta) h(z)]}{[(1-\alpha) H(z) + \alpha] + \sigma}. \tag{65}$$

Also, we find from (62) that

$$z \left(I_{\lambda,l}^m(a,c,A) L_{\sigma} f(z) \right)' = \left(I_{\lambda,l}^m(a,c,A) L_{\sigma} g(z) \right) [(1-\beta) h(z) + \beta]. \tag{66}$$

Differentiating both sides of (66) with respect to z , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} z \left(z \left(I_{\lambda,l}^m(a,c,A) L_{\sigma} f(z) \right)' \right)' &= (1-\beta) z h'(z) \left(I_{\lambda,l}^m(a,c,A) L_{\sigma} g(z) \right) \\ &\quad + z \left(I_{\lambda,l}^m(a,c,A) L_{\sigma} g(z) \right)' [(1-\beta) h(z) + \beta], \end{aligned} \tag{67}$$

that is,

$$\frac{z \left(z \left(I_{\lambda,l}^m(a,c,A) L_{\sigma} f(z) \right)' \right)'}{I_{\lambda,l}^m(a,c,A) L_{\sigma} g(z)} = (1-\beta) z h'(z) + [(1-\beta) h(z) + \beta] [(1-\alpha) H(z) + \alpha]. \tag{68}$$

Substituting (68) into (65), we find that

$$\frac{z \left(I_{\lambda,l}^m(a,c,A) f(z) \right)'}{I_{\lambda,l}^m(a,c,A) g(z)} - \beta = (1-\beta) h(z) + \frac{(1-\beta) z h'(z)}{[(1-\alpha) H(z) + \alpha] + \sigma}. \tag{69}$$

Then, by setting $u = h(z) = u_1 + iu_2$ and $v = zh'(z) = v_1 + iv_2$, we can define the function $\psi(u,v)$ by

$$\psi(u,v) = (1-\beta)u + \frac{(1-\beta)v}{[(1-\alpha)H(z) + \alpha] + \sigma},$$

where $(u,v) \in D = \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}$. The remainder of our proof of Theorem 7 is similar to that of Theorem 3, so we choose to omit the analogous details involved.

Remark 10.

- (i) Taking $m = s$ ($s \in \mathbb{R}$), $\lambda = 1$, $a = \mu - 1$ ($\mu > 0$), $c = 0$ and $A = 1$ in Theorems 5-7, we obtain the results obtained by Cho and Kim [8, Theorems 3.1-3.3 with $\varphi(z) = \psi(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z}$];
- (ii) Taking $a = \mu - 1$ ($\mu > 0$), $c = 0$ and $A = 1$ in Theorems 5-7, we obtain the results obtained by Aouf and El-Ashwah [3, Theorems 4-6 with $\varphi(z) = \psi(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z}$];
- (iii) Taking $l = 0$, $a = \mu - 1$ ($\mu > 0$), $c = 0$ and $A = 1$ in Theorems 5-7, we obtain the results obtained by Aouf et al. [2, Theorems 4-6 with $\varphi(z) = \psi(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z}$].

Taking $a = c$ in Theorem 7, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 7. Let $\sigma > -\alpha$. If $f(z) \in K_{\lambda,l}^m(\beta, \alpha)$, then $L_{\sigma} f(z) \in K_{\lambda,l}^m(\beta, \alpha)$, where the subclass $K_{\lambda,l}^m(\beta, \alpha)$ is defined by (24).

Remark 11.

- (i) Taking $\lambda = 1$, $m = \mu$ ($\mu > 0$) and $l = a - 1$ ($a > 0$) in Corollary 7, we obtain the results obtained by Aouf [1, Theorem 7];
- (ii) Taking $\lambda = l = 1$ and $m = \sigma$ ($\sigma > 0$) in Corollary 7, we obtain the results obtained by Liu [19, Theorem 7].

Taking $m = 0$ in Theorem 7, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 8. Let $\sigma > -\alpha$. If $f(z) \in K(a, c, A; \beta, \alpha)$, then $L_{\sigma} f(z) \in K(a, c, A; \beta, \alpha)$, where the subclass $K(a, c, A; \beta, \alpha)$ is defined by (28).

Remark 12. Taking $\alpha = \beta = 0$, $a = \mu - 1$ ($\mu > 0$), $c = \lambda$ ($\lambda > -1$) and $A = 1$ in Corollary 8, we obtain the results obtained by Choi et al. [9, Theorem 6, with $\varphi(z) = \psi(z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z}$].

Theorem 8. Let $\sigma > -\alpha$. If $f(z) \in K_{\lambda,l}^{*m}(a, c, A; \beta, \alpha)$, then

$$L_{\sigma} f(z) \in K_{\lambda,l}^{*m}(a, c, A; \beta, \alpha).$$

Proof. Just as we derived Theorem 6 from Theorem 5. Easily, we can deduce Theorem 8 from Theorem 7. So we choose to omit the proof.

Taking $a = c$ in Theorem 8, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 9. Let $\sigma > -\alpha$. If $f(z) \in K_{\lambda,l}^{*m}(\beta, \alpha)$, then $L_{\sigma} f(z) \in K_{\lambda,l}^{*m}(\beta, \alpha)$, where the subclass $K_{\lambda,l}^{*m}(\beta, \alpha)$ is defined by (25).

Remark 13.

- (i) Taking $\lambda = 1$, $m = \mu$ ($\mu > 0$) and $l = a - 1$ ($a > 0$) in Corollary 9, we obtain the results obtained by Aouf [1, Theorem 8];

- (ii) Taking $\lambda = l = 1$ and $m = \sigma$ ($\sigma > 0$) in Corollary 9, we obtain the results obtained by Liu [19, Theorem 8].

Taking $m = 0$ in Theorem 8, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 10. Let $\sigma > -\alpha$. If $f(z) \in K^*(a, c, A; \beta, \alpha)$, then $L_\sigma f(z) \in K^*(a, c, A; \beta, \alpha)$, where the subclass $K^*(a, c, A; \beta, \alpha)$ is defined by (29).

4. CONCLUSION

From the results obtained in this paper, we can easily obtain the corresponding results of the well-known operators such as *Jung-Kim-Srivastava* operator, *Carlson-Shaffer* operator, *Noor* operator, *Ruscheweyeh* operator, *Salagean* operator, and others as a special choices of the parameters as mentioned before.

5. REFERENCES

1. Aouf, M.K. Some inclusion relationships associated with the Komatu integral operator. *Mathematical and Computer Modelling* 50: 1360-1366 (2009).
2. Aouf, M.K., A. Shamandy, A.O. Mostafa & S.M. Madian. Inclusion properties of certain subclasses of analytic functions defined by Salagean operator. *Annales Universitatis Mariae Curie-Sklodowska Sectio A Mathematica* 64(no. 1): 17-26 (2010).
3. Aouf, M.K. & R.M. El-Ashwah. Inclusion properties of certain subclass of analytic functions defined by multiplier transformations. *Annales Universitatis Mariae Curie-Sklodowska Sectio A Mathematica* 63: 29-38 (2009).
4. Aouf, M.K., R.M. El-Ashwah & A.M. Abd-Eltawab. Some inclusion relationships of certain subclasses of p -valent functions associated with a family of integral operators. *ISRN Mathematical Analysis* Art. ID 384170, 8 pages (2013).
5. Bernardi, S.D. Convex and starlike univalent functions. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society* 135: 429-446 (1969).
6. Carlson, B.C. & D.B. Shaffer. Starlike and prestarlike hypergeometric functions. *SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis* 15: 737-745 (1984).
7. Catas, A. A note on a certain subclass of analytic functions defined by multiplier transformations, in *Proc. Book of the International Symposium on Geometric Function Theory and Applications*, Istanbul, Turkey 241-250 (2007).
8. Cho, N.E. & J.A. Kim. Inclusion properties of certain subclasses of analytic functions defined by a multiplier transformation. *Computer and Mathematics with Applications* 52: 323-330 (2006).
9. Choi, J.H., M. Saigo & H.M. Srivastava. Some inclusion properties of a certain family of integral operators. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* 276: 432-445 (2002).
10. El-Ashwah, R.M. & M.K. Aouf. Some properties of new integral operator. *Acta Universitatis Apulensis* 24: 51-61 (2010).
11. El-Ashwah, R.M. & M.K. Aouf. Differential subordination and superordination for certain subclasses of analytic functions involving an extended integral operator. *Acta Universitatis Apulensis* 28: 341-350 (2011).
12. Flett, T.M. The dual of an inequality of Hardy and Littlewood and some related inequalities. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* 38: 746-765 (1972).
13. Gao, C.Y., S.M. Yuan & H.M. Srivastava. Some functional inequalities and inclusion relationships associated with certain families of integral operators. *Computer and Mathematics with Applications* 49: 1787-1795 (2005).
14. Jack, I.S. Functions starlike and convex of order α . *Journal of London Mathematical Society* 3 (no. 2): 469-474 (1971).
15. Jung, I.B., Y.C. Kim & H.M. Srivastava. The Hardy space of analytic functions associated with certain one-parameter families of integral operators. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* 176: 138-147 (1993).
16. Komatu, Y. On analytical prolongation of a family of operators. *Mathematica (Cluj)* 32 (no. 2): 141-145 (1990).

17. Li, J.L. Some properties of two integral operators. *Soochow Journal of Mathematics*, 25 (no. 1): 91-96 (1999).
18. Libera, R.J. Some classes of regular univalent functions. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society* 16 (no. 4): 755-758 (1965).
19. Liu, J.-L. Some applications of certain integral operator. *Kyungpook Mathematical Journal*, 43: 211-219 (2003).
20. Liu, J.-L. Notes on Jung-Kim-Srivastava integral operator. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* 294: 96-103 (2004).
21. Liu, J.-L. & S. Owa. Properties of certain integral operator. *International Journal of Mathematical Sciences* 3 (no. 1): 45-51 (2004).
22. Livingston, A. E. On the radius of univalence of certain analytic functions. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society* 17: 352-357 (1966).
23. MacGregor, T.H. The radius of convexity for starlike function of order α . *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society* 14: 71-76 (1963).
24. Miller, S.S. & P.T. Mocanu. Second order differential inequalities in the complex plane. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* 65: 289-305 (1978).
25. Noor, K.I. On quasi-convex functions and related topics. *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences* 10: 241-258 (1987).
26. Noor, K.I. On new classes of integral operators. *Journal of Natural Geometry* 16: 71-80 (1999).
27. Noor, K.I. & H.A. Alkhorasani. Properties of close-to-convexity preserved by some integral operators. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* 112: 509-516 (1985).
28. Noor, K.I. & M.A. Noor. On integral operators. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications* 238: 341-352 (1999).
29. Owa, S. & H.M. Srivastava. Some applications of the generalized Libera integral operator. *Proceedings of the Japan Academy, Series A, Mathematical Sciences* 62: 125-128 (1986).
30. Patel, J. Inclusion relations and convolution properties of certain subclasses of analytic functions defined by a generalized Salagean operator. *Bulletin of the Belgian Mathematical Society-Simon Stevin* 15: 33-47 (2008).
31. Pinchuk, B. On the starlike and convex functions of order α . *Duke Mathematical Journal* 35: 721-734 (1968).
32. Raina, R.K. & P. Sharma. Subordination preserving properties associated with a class of operators. *Le Matematiche* 68 (no. 1): 217-228 (2013).
33. Robertson, M.S. On the theory of univalent functions. *Annals of Mathematics* 37: 374-408 (1936).
34. Ruscheweyh, S. New criteria for univalent functions. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society* 49: 109-115 (1975).
35. Salagean, G.S. Subclasses of univalent functions. *Lecture Notes in Math. (Springer-Verlag)* 1013: 362-372 (1983).
36. Schild, A. On starlike function of order α . *American Journal of Mathematics* 87: 65-70 (1965).
37. Silverman, H. On a class of close-to-convex schlicht functions. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society* 36: 477-484 (1972).
38. Srivastava, H.M. & S. Owa. *Univalent Functions, Fractional Calculus, and Their Applications*, Halsted Press, Horwood Limited, Chichester and John Wiley and Sons, New York, Chichester, Brisbane and Toronto (1989).
39. Uralegaddi, B.A. & C. Somanatha. Certain classes of univalent functions, In: *Current Topics in Analytic Function Theory*, H.M. Srivastava and S. Owa (Ed.). World Scientific Publishing Company, Singapore p. 371-374 (1992).